

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Isn't it interesting that ABC wouldn't broadcast controversial adds regarding George Bush during the Super Bowl stating that FCC guidelines restrict one sided political adds, but it's OK for Sinclair Broadcasting. Isn't it just as unethical and doesn't it violate FCC rules also?

Is the use of the PUBLIC airwaves to support one groups political agenda in the best interest of our nation? Would this group air negative adds about George Bush?? Would they show Fahrenheit 911???

If broadcasters aren't going to present an unbiased view of all candidates then they shouldn't be allowed to operate as a media outlet. Comments regarding the unethical use of public media outlets should play a dramatic role in whether that station gets there license renewed. Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them.

From a personal standpoint I'm going to remove all my advertising from the local Sinclair TV station, because I don't want to be associated with such an unethical organization. I'll also suggest to other local business owners to do the same.